

Notification No. RDF 6 TRM 67 (a), dated 8th June 1967.

31 Remission of Land Revenue in certain villages of Gadag Taluk Dharwar District;

Notification No. RDF 3 TRM 68 (i), dated 12th February 1968 ;

32 Remission of Land Revenue in certain villages of Mundargi Taluk, Dharwar District ;

Notification No. RDF 5 TRM 68 (i), dated 19th February 1968,
as required under section 194 (2) of the Mysore Land Revenue Act,
1964.

(f) (i) The Mysore Land Revenue (Third Amendment) Rules, 1967.

Notification No. RD 25 ELR 67, dated 18th December 1967,

(ii) The Mysore Land grant Rules, 1968, Notification No.RD 43 GNA 66, dated 21st March 1968,

as required under section 198 of the Mysore Land Revenue Act,
1964.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Papers are laid on the Table.

TENTH REPORT OF BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I present the Tenth Report of Business Advisory Committee.

The question is :

“ That the Assembly agrees with the Tenth Report of the Business Advisory Committee”.

The motion was adopted.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The report is adopted.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR (Hubli).—I want to move an amendment under rule 228, that the report of the Business Advisory Committee be referred back to the Committee to consider the time allotment for discussion of the Mysore Urban Development Boards Bill, 1967.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—We have allotted time and that has been adopted.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—Under rule 228 when the report of the Business Advisory Committee is presented, if any member feels that the allotment of time for any business is not properly made, then this kind of amendment can be moved.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The report is adopted already.

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT MOTION *re:* CONSIDERATION OF THE MAHAJAN COMMISSION'S REPORT

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—There is an adjournment motion tabled by Sri Vatal Nagaraj in Respect of discussion of the Mahajan Commission's Report.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಮಹಜನ್ ಪರಿಯ ಚೆಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ನಲ್ಲಿಷಳಿ ಶಳಕನೇ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚೆಚೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಾತ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದೆ.

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ತಮಗೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಗಾಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಇದು ಬಹು ಮುಖ್ಯದ ವಿಕಾರ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ. ಇದಕಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಮ್ಮೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The power of setting up a machinery to deal with these things rest with the Central Government. The State Government cannot do anything in the matter. This has already been intimated to the concerned members who had sent the notices.

† **Sri S. S. SHETTAR.**—The recommendation of the National Integration Council has far-reaching consequences and we apprehend that when the said machinery is set up the report of the Mahajan Commission will not at all be implemented. That is why we want to discuss this matter in today's agenda by means of an adjournment motion. The Central Government is bypassing the Mahajan Commission's Report. Our Chief Minister also has stated that much water has flown under the bridge after the report of the Mahajan Commission and that he will not be satisfied with anything, other than the Mahajan Commission Report. Yet the Central Government seems to bypass the Mahajan Commission Report. We are very much pained to note that this is the attitude of the Central Government and this attitude of the Central Government has got to be curbed. If that is not done it will have a very bad effect and it may happen that the Mahajan Commission Report will not at all be implemented. Thereby we will be the losers. So, sufficient opportunity should be given to discuss this issue today itself.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The consent is withheld and the notice is disallowed.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR (Aland).—I rise on a point of order, Sir. You were pleased to state that you have disallowed the adjournment motion. My submission is that under rule 54, in case of such refusal there is a procedure to be followed. The proviso to rule 54 reads :

“Provided that where the Speaker has refused his consent under rule 50 or is of opinion that the matter proposed to be discussed is not in order, he may, if he thinks necessary, read the notice of motion and state the reasons for refusing consent or holding the motion as not being in order.”

So, if consent is refused, reasons have to be stated. My submission is that the Speaker may give the reasons for refusing consent.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—It has already been disposed of. There is no merit in the point of order raised by the hon. Member.

NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT MOTION *re: DROUGHT SITUATION IN THE STATE*

† **Sri V. N. PATIL** (Humnabad).—Sir, I have given notice of an adjournment motion to discuss the acute drought conditions prevailing throughout the State. Now, the important question to be discussed by this House, in my humble opinion, should be the drought conditions in the State and not the Ministers' Salaries and Allowances (Amendment) Bill and other matters. We should take notice of it, but it is unfortunate that my adjournment motion has been disallowed. It is mentioned in the letter sent to me that the Chief Minister is going to move a motion in that behalf. So, I insist and request the Chair to see that this motion is allowed and discussed today.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Has the hon. Member sent notice of any adjournment motion?

Sri V. N. PATIL.—I have sent a notice and I have been informed that my notice is disallowed. That is why I am asking the Chair to reconsider it.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I cannot reconsider it. The reasons are also stated in the letter which was delivered to the hon. Member. The hon. Member may kindly go through the reasons why it was disallowed. I may state that I am also very much interested in scarcity conditions. I have consulted the Leader of the House in this matter and we have decided to take up this matter in the House and the hon. Member can take his chance to speak. The matter will be elaborately discussed.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—The motion tabled by my hon. Friend regarding scarcity conditions is a very serious matter and is more important than any of the Bills mentioned in the agenda; they are not of such urgency and importance, especially the Bill to raise the salaries and allowances of the Ministers.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The hon. Member is repeating.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—Drought is prevailing everywhere and we have not been taking any concrete steps to solve the problem. This problem should be given the topmost priority and not the Ministers' Salaries and Allowances (Amendment) Bill. The drought situation should be taken up today and the Bill might be taken up at any other time because the Congress benches are very sure that it will be passed. This is a matter concerning the entire State. Kindly take it up first.